Presented for Chofu Aerospace Center, JAXA Organized by Japan Helicopter Society

Recent Development on Rotorcraft CSD (Comprehensive Structural Dynamics) Code at Konkuk University

School of Mechanical & Aerospace Eng. Konkuk University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Part I

Introduction

Intro: Speaker

❖ **Prof. Sung Nam Jung**

- ❖ **Research Interests:**
	- Rotorcraft Aeromechanics / Aircraft Structures / Optimum Design
- ❖ **Work Experience**
	- 2006 Current, Professor, **Konkuk University**, Seoul
	- 2023 2023, Visiting Scientist, **KARI**, Daejeon
	- 2018 2018, Visiting Scientist, **DLR**, Braunschweig, Germany
	- 2011 2012, Visiting Scientist, **NASA Ames**, Moffett Field, CA
	- 1997 1999, Post Doctor, **Univ. of Maryland**, College Park
	- 1994 2006, Professor, **Jeonbuk National Univ.**, Jeonju
- ❖ **Professional Service**

J KONKUI
UNIVERSIT

- AIAA Associate Fellow (Since 2014)
- Associate Editor, Journal of the American Helicopter Society (2015 2022)
- Associate Editor, Int. Journal of Aeronautical & Space Sciences (2011 2019)
- President, Rotorcraft Systems Division, KSAS (2014 2015)
- President, VFS Korean Chapter (2018 2021)
- Tech Program Co-Chair/Chair, ARF 2012/2018, Rotor Korea 2007/2009

A doll in glasses (2000)

Intro: Konkuk University

- **Konkuk University (KU):**
- **Located in the northeastern region of Seoul**
- **About 30k undergraduate plus graduate students**
- **Aerospace Eng. program started in 1990**
- **Currently 12 faculty members at Aerospace Eng.**

Part II

Rotorcraft CSD Code

What Does the Rotorcraft CSD mean?

❖ **Rotorcraft CSD (Comprehensive Structural Dynamics): Disciplines related closely with "Aeromechanics" or "Aeroelasticity"**

- **EXEDEDEDIA ARE APPEAST A A** branch of applied mechanics that studies the phenomena associated with the interactions between the inertial, elastic, and aerodynamic forces acting an elastic body
- **EXEDENTIFY Aeromechanics:** The branch of aeronautical engineering science dealing with equilibrium, motion, and control of elastic rotorcraft in air (by W. Johnson)
- **Comprehensive:** Complete or broad covering (by Merriam-Webster)

❖ **CSD code:** Key S/W dealing with rotorcraft aeromechanics analysis

Disciplines associate with

Flutter

Vibrations

Aerodynamic

Dynamic

stability

Inertial

forces

 $F = ma$

forces $L = aSC$

Static aeroelasticity

Elastic

forces

 $F = kx$

What Functions Needed for Rotorcraft CSD Codes?

❖ **Core features of generic rotorcraft CSD codes**

- **Rotorcraft trim (wind tunnel, free flight) analysis**
- **Elastic plus rigid beams with large deformation (linear or nonlinear)**
- **Internal aerodynamics (quasi-steady or unsteady) with inflow models (uniform or prescribed/free wake)**
- **Multibody capability to model arbitrary rotor types, joints, linkages, dampers, and elastic bodies**
- **Loads (blade & hub loads) and vibration (airframe) prediction**
- **Aeroelastic or aeromechanical instability**
- **Link to external aerodynamics (e.g., CFD/CSD coupling)**

How Rotorcraft CSD Codes Developed?

❖ **Rotorcraft CSD codes: Mostly developed by helicopter companies**

❖ **Technology drivers in CSD code developments**

- **1970s: Blade elastic models (nonlinear); 1980s: Advanced inflow models (prescribed/free wake)**
- **2000s: Multi-body formulations (joints, linkages)**

What Rotorcraft CSD Codes Available?

❖ **Various rotorcraft CSD codes developed worldwide**

MLDB: Moderately Large Deformation Beam GEB: Geometrically Exact Beam

Sample CSD Results: HART II

❖ **Application of sample CSD codes for the validation of HART II data**

Sample CSD Results: HART II

❖ **Comparison of airloads, tip deflections, and structural loads (BL case)**

Development of K-CSD Code CoRAN

Goals:

- **Develop comprehensive aeromechanics analysis with the capability of predicting:**
	- **- Rotating free vibration frequencies**
	- **- Blade & hub loads**
	- **- Trim, blade response, stability**

Approaches:

- **Based on nonlinear beam theory (MLDB or GEB)**
- **Enabled multi-body modeling capability**
- **Quasi-steady or Leishman-Beddoes unsteady aerodynamic theory adopted**
- **Extension to free wake inflow model & loosely coupled CFD/CSD approach**

Outcomes:

KU KONKUK

- **General purpose rotorcraft CSD program**
- **Integration into rotorcraft M&S (modeling and simulation) system**
- **Possible applications for new helicopter developments in Korea**

present result

Vertical Shear Forces

-38%

-89%

-49%

0

0.12

Intro: K-CSD Code CoRAN

❖ **Flow diagram of K-CSD code CoRAN (Comprehensive Rotorcraft Aeromechanics aNalysis)**

❖ **Multi-body formulation**

- **Multiple load paths (e.g., bearingless rotor)**
- **Multiple rotors (e.g., coaxial, tilt rotors)**
- **Rotor-body coupled vibration analysis (MSC.NASTRAN, ICARUS)**
- **Rotor-wing coupling**

Multiple blades

Segment

Spring

 $\overline{2}$

Segment \mathbf{A}

 a_1

- ❖ **Nonlinear beam kinematic models: Two-track approach**
- ❖ **Large deformation GEB (geometrically exact beam) model**
	- **Based on Hodges' (1990) mixed variational beam formulation**
	- **Initial code developed from the work of Im et al. (2020)***
- ❖ **Moderately large deformation beam (MLDB) model**
	- **Based on Hodges & Dowell's (1974) 2nd order geometric nonlinearity**
	- **Initial code developed from the work of Jung et al. (2002)****

* Im, B., Cho, H., Kee, Y., & Shin, S. (2020). Geometrically exact beam analysis based on the exponential map finite rotations. *Int. Journal of Aeronautical and Space Sciences*, *21*(1), 153-162.

** Jung. S. N., Kim. K. N., and Kim. S. J., "Forward Flight Stability Characteristics for Composite Hingeless Rotor Rotors with Transverse Shear Deformation", AIAA Journal, 40(9), 2002

❖ **Large deformation, nonlinear GEB model: Validation of results (JBNU)**

J KONKUK
J UNIVERSITY

an
M

E=70GPa $v = 0.33$

ັ້!ap deflection, r
ເສດ ແລະ
ເສດ ແລະ

 0.04

 $l = 1m$

x, m

 $h=0.1m$

-Present(linear) Present/Nonlinear) -MSC.NASTRAN

 $T = 0.01m$

❖ **Nonlinear MLDB model: Validation of results (KU)**

• **Government equation:**
$$
\delta \Pi = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\delta U - \delta T - \delta W) dt = 0
$$
 where
$$
\delta U = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t
$$

• **FE responses in space & time (x,):**

1 $\frac{1}{2}\int_0^R\iint_A(\sigma_{xx}\delta\varepsilon_{xx}+\sigma_{x\eta}\delta\varepsilon_{x\eta}+\sigma_{x\zeta}\delta\varepsilon_{x\zeta})$ *R* $\mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \iint_A (\sigma_{xx} \delta \varepsilon_{xx} + \sigma_{x\eta} \delta \varepsilon_{x\eta} + \sigma_{x\zeta} \delta \varepsilon_{x\zeta}) d\eta d\zeta dx$ $\delta U = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \iint_A (\sigma_{xx} \delta \varepsilon_{xx} + \sigma_{x\eta} \delta \varepsilon_{x\eta} + \sigma_{x\zeta} \delta \varepsilon_{x\zeta}) d\eta d\zeta dx$ 0 *R* $\delta T = \int_0^R \iint_A \rho_s \vec{V} \cdot \delta \vec{V} d\eta d\zeta dx$ $0 \left(L_u \mathcal{O} u + L_v \mathcal{O} v + L_w \mathcal{O} v \right) + M \hat{\phi}$ \hat{b} $\int_A \rho_s \vec{V} \cdot \delta \vec{V} d\eta d\zeta dx$
 $(L_u \delta u + L_v \delta v + L_w \delta w + M_{\phi} \delta \hat{\phi})$ *R* $\delta T = \int_0^R \iint_A \rho_s \vec{V} \cdot \delta \vec{V} d\eta d\zeta dx$
 $\delta W = \int_0^R (L_u \delta u + L_v \delta v + L_w \delta w + M_{\hat{\phi}} \delta \hat{\phi}) dx$ where

Intelligent Rotorcraft Structures Lab Comparison of blade response solutions

❖ **Interface with a general blade cross-section analysis program KSAC2D**

▪ **Stiffness/inertia matrices in Euler-Bernoulli level (4x4):**

with the section constants:

$$
EA = E \iint_A dy dz
$$

\n
$$
GJ = G \iint_A (y^2 + z^2) dy dz
$$

\n
$$
E A e_{a1} = EA \iint_A
$$

\n
$$
E I_y = E \iint_A z^2 dy dz
$$

\n
$$
E I_z = E \iint_A y^2 dy dz
$$

\n
$$
m = \iint_A \rho dy dz
$$

\n
$$
m e_{g1} = \iint_A \rho y dz
$$

\n
$$
m e_{g2} = \iint_A \rho z dz
$$

\n
$$
m k_{m2}^2 = \iint_A \rho z^2 dy dz
$$

\n
$$
m k_{m2}^2 = \iint_A \rho y^2 dy dz
$$

\n
$$
m k_{m2}^2 = \iint_A \rho (y^2 + z^2) dy dz = m k_{m1}^2 + m k_{m2}^2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\text{L}Ae_{a1} = EA \iint_A ydydz \\
EAe_{a2} &= EA \iint_A zdydz \\
k_A^2 &= \iint_A (y^2 + z^2)dydz = I_y + I_z \\
me_{g1} &= \iint_A \rho y \, dydz \\
me_{g2} &= \iint_A \rho z \, dydz\n\end{aligned}
$$

❖ **Evaluation of blade & hub loads**

- **Blade loads (rotating frame): Force summation method**
- **Hub loads: Fourier coordination transformation**
- **Hub vibration:** *n***N^b /rev (blade passage freq.) components**

Hub forces:

$$
F_x(\psi) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_b} (F_x \cos \psi_m - F_y \sin \psi_m - F_z \cos \psi_m \beta_p)
$$

\n
$$
F_y(\psi) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_b} (F_x \sin \psi_m + F_y \cos \psi_m - F_z \sin \psi_m \beta_p)
$$

\n
$$
F_z(\psi) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_b} (F_z + F_x \beta_p)
$$

Hub moments:

$$
M_x(\psi) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_b} (M_x \cos \psi_m - M_y \sin \psi_m - M_z \cos \psi_m \beta_p)
$$

$$
M_y(\psi) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_b} (M_x \sin \psi_m + M_y \cos \psi_m - M_z \sin \psi_m \beta_p)
$$

$$
M_z(\psi) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_b} (M_z + M_x \beta_p)
$$

 $\left(\lambda_k \right)_R$

 $\left(\left(\lambda_k \right)_R \right)$

 λ .

T

^k ^R

❖ **Rotor aeroelastic stability analysis** • **Governing equation:** • **Linearized perturbation equation:** • **State-form equations:** • **Modal flutter equations:** • **Flutter solutions: Constant coefficient / Floquet transition matrix solutions** • **Stability criteria:** $M\ddot{q} + C(q,\psi)\dot{q} + K(q,\psi)q = F(q,\dot{q},\psi)$ $M\delta\ddot{q} + C - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta\dot{q} + K - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q = 0$ *q*^{q} q ^{*d*} $\delta \ddot{q} + C - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} |\delta \dot{q} + K - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}| \delta$ $+\bigg(C{-}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{q}}\bigg)\delta\dot{q}+\bigg(K{-}\frac{\partial F}{\partial q}\bigg)$ $\overline{\partial}\overline{\dot{a}}\vert^{Oq+}\vert^{K}$ $\overline{\partial}$ $\overline{\partial}$ $\overline{a}\vert^{Oq}$ $\overline{\partial}$ $\delta \dot{X} = A(\psi) \delta X$ \overline{V} $\overline{M}-1$ $A(\psi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & I \overline{I} & I \end{bmatrix}$ $M^{-1}K$ *-M^{-I}C* (ψ) = \bar{M} -1 $\bar{\nu}$ = \bar{M} - $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\left[-\bar{M}^{-1}\bar{K}\right.\left.-\bar{M}^{-1}\bar{C}\right]$ $X = \begin{cases} op \end{cases}$ *p* δ δ δ $\left\{\delta p\right\}$ $\lfloor \mathfrak{d} p \rfloor$ = $\bar{M}\delta\ddot{p}+\bar{C}\delta\dot{p}+\bar{K}\delta p=0$ $\bar{M} = \phi^T M \phi$ $\bar{C} = \phi^T$ *q* $\bar{C} = \phi^T \left(C - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \phi$ д $=\phi^T \mid C - \frac{C\Gamma}{2} \mid \phi$ $\bar{K} = \phi^T$ *q* $\bar{K} = \phi^T \left(K - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \right) \phi$ $=\phi^T\left(K-\frac{\partial}{\partial K}\right)$ д $P_k = \frac{1}{T} \ln \lambda_k = \alpha_k + i \omega_k$ $\lambda_k = \alpha_k + i\omega_k$ $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \lambda_k \right| = \frac{1}{2T} \ln \left| \left(\lambda_k \right)_R^2 + \left(\lambda_k \right)_R^2 \right|$ $=\frac{1}{T}\ln|\lambda_k|=\frac{1}{2T}\ln[(\lambda_k)_R + (\lambda_k)_R]$ $\left(\lambda_k \right)_I$ $b_k = \frac{1}{T} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{a_k}{a_k} \right)$ λ . $\mathcal{L}_{-1}\left(\left(\mathcal{X}_{k}\right)_{I}\right)$ $\lfloor \frac{\binom{k}{2}}{2} \rfloor$

Presnt -Tracy(1998)

Measured(1998)

 0.14

 0.12

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\alpha_k > 0 & : \text{Unstable} & \alpha_k & = \\
\alpha_k < 0 & : \text{Stable}\n\end{aligned}
$$

K-CSD Code CoRAN: Sample Results

270

360

 Ω

180

Azimuth angle [deg]

❖ **Sample results of CoRAN: Validation of HART II BL data**

 Ω

Trim control angles Blade tip deflections Blade structural moments

Z/R 0.5 $\frac{5}{2}$ 100 Present Before correction moment, **DYMORE** Tip flap displacement,
 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ Experiment Flap-bending -2 180 $\theta_{\rm S}$ 90 270 360 180 θ_{75} $\theta_{\rm C}$ Ω 90 Azimuth angle [deg] Azimuth angle [deg] 0.5 • Measured - blade no. 1 Measured - blade no. 2 moment, N-m deg A Measured - blade no. 3 • Measured - blade no. 4 Tip elastic twist, -0.5 -Present [GEB] Before correction \cdots DYMORE 2.0 $Torsion$ Jung et al. -2.5 -2

90

IU KONKUK

[deg]

angle

Control

Intelligent Rotorcraft Structures Lab

180

Azimuth angle [deg]

90

270

270

360

360

K-CSD Code CoRAN: Sample Results

❖ **Sample results of CoRAN: Validation of HART II MV data**

 -6

 Ω

90

Measured - blade no. 2

A Measured - blade no. 3

• Measured - blade no. 4 Present [GEB] Before correction \cdots DYMORE 2.0 **** Jung et al.

180

Azimuth angle [deg]

270

360

Trim control angles Blade tip deflections Blade structural moments

Summary

- ❖ **Current status of rotorcraft CSD codes briefed**
- ❖ **Ongoing work on CSD code development at KU introduced. Key features of the** *so-called* **CoRAN include:**
	- **Flexible multi-body formulation**
	- **Nonlinear beam kinematics modeling (MLDB or GEB)**
	- Prediction of trim, blade response, blade & hub loads, and aeroelastic stability
	- **Interface with a general section analysis program KSAC2D**
	- **Pipeline to external aerodynamics (free wake, CFD solver)**
- ❖ **Some benchmark comparison results demonstrated**
- ❖ **Future work: Long way to go… (wake models, CFD/CSD coupling)**

Q & A

Thank you! Contact: snjung@konkuk.ac.kr

